



## 第三章 压力与速度耦合算法研究进展





西安交通大学能源与动力工程学院 热流科学与工程教育部重点实验室 2018年5月9日,西安

西安交通大學

(温)





#### 第3章压力与速度耦合算法研究进展

#### 3.1 SIMPLE系列算法的基本思想

#### **3.2 SIMPLER、SIMPLEC、SIMPLEX与SIMPLE** 的比较

3.3 PISO算法介绍

#### 3.4 CLEAR算法

3.5 IDEAL算法

3.6 非结构化网格上流场的求解

#### 3.7 SIMPLE系列算法向可压缩流的发展





#### 3.1 SIMPLE系列算法的基本思想

#### 3.1.1 二维交叉网格上流场控制方程的离散及其求解

#### 3.1.2 压力修正算法的基本思想

#### 3.1.3 SIMPLE算法的实施步骤和两个重要假设





MOE-KLTFSE (2)

#### 3.1 SIMPLE算法的基本思想

## 3.1.1 二维交叉网格上流场控制方程的离散及其求解

1. 方程及离散  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} = 0$  $(\delta x)_w^+ (\delta x)_e^- (\delta x)_e^+$  $\frac{\partial(uu)}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial(vu)}{\partial y} = -\frac{1}{\rho}\frac{\partial p}{\partial x} + v\left(\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2}\right) + \frac{\partial(vv)}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial(vv)}{\partial y} = -\frac{1}{\rho}\frac{\partial p}{\partial y} + v\left(\frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial y^2}\right) + \frac{\partial(vv)}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial(vv)}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial(vv)}{\partial y^2}$ NE  $(\delta v)$ . Sy)\_ EE  $(\delta x)$ SE  $a_{e}u_{e} = \sum a_{nb}u_{nb} + b + (p_{P} - p_{E})A_{e}$  $\Delta x_P$  $a_{n}v_{n} = \sum a_{nb}v_{nb} + b + (p_{P} - p_{N})A_{n}$  $[(\rho u)_{e} - (\rho u)_{w}]\Delta y + [(\rho v)_{n} - (\rho v)_{s}]\Delta x = 0$ 4/160



MOE-KLTFSE

#### 2. 代数方程的求解

(1) 联立直接求解:不存在任何压力与速度的耦合关 系处理问题;但计算机内存容量的限制以及问题的非 线性限制了此法的应用;

(2) 分离式求解 (segregated method): 假设压力 场*p\**, 先解 *u* 方程, 再解 *v* 方程, 然后通过利用连续 性方程来修正假定的压力, 使与修正后压力对应的速 度满足连续性要求。一般地, 压力与速度的改进都通 过加上一个小量来进行: *p'*, *u'*, *v'*。





#### 3.1.2 压力修正算法的基本思想

### 1. 在流场迭代求解的每一个层次上,都必须满足连续 性方程;

# 2. 在每一层次动量方程的求解中获得中间速度后对压力进行修正,使与修正后的压力, *p\*+p'*,相对应的速度, *u\*+u'*, *v\*+v'*,满足质量守恒要求。

#### 3.1.3 SIMPLE算法的实施步骤和两个重要简化假设





CENTER

L) 假定一个速度场 
$$u^{(0)}$$
,  $v^{(0)}$ , 计算 $a_e$ ,  $a_n$ ,  $a_{nb}$ , b等;

#### 2) 假定一个压力场 p\*; (简化假设1: p\*独立设定)

3) 求解动量方程,得出临时速度场 u\*, v\*;

4) 据 u\*, v\*修正压力, 获得修正分量p', 要求与p' 对应的u', v' 使 ( $u^{*+u'}$ ), ( $v^{*+v'}$ ) 满足质量守恒, 由此 导出压力修正方程;

$$a_{e}(u_{e}^{*}+u_{e}^{'}) = \sum a_{nb}(u_{nb}^{*}+u_{nb}^{'}) + b + A_{e}[(p_{P}^{*}+p_{P}^{'})-(p_{E}^{*}+p_{E}^{'})]$$

$$a_{e}u_{e}^{*} = \sum a_{nb}u_{nb}^{*} + b + A_{e}(p_{P}^{*}-p_{E}^{*})$$

$$a_{e}u_{e}^{'} = \sum a_{nb}u_{nb}^{'} + A_{e}(p_{P}^{'}-p_{E}^{'})$$
(FP-NHT-EHT (7/160)

西安交通大學



#### 略去邻点速度修正量的影响(第二个简化假设)可得:

$$u_{e}^{'} = \frac{A_{e}}{a_{e}}(p_{P}^{'} - p_{E}^{'}) = d_{e}(p_{P}^{'} - p_{E}^{'}), \quad d_{e} = \frac{A_{e}}{a_{e}}$$
$$v_{n}^{'} = \frac{A_{n}}{a_{n}}(p_{P}^{'} - p_{N}^{'}) = d_{n}(p_{P}^{'} - p_{N}^{'}), \quad d_{n} = \frac{A_{n}}{a_{n}}$$

#### 5) 获得p'后修正速度和压力;

$$u_{e} = u_{e}^{*} + d_{e}(p_{P} - p_{E}) v_{n} = v_{n}^{*} + d_{n}(p_{P} - p_{N}) p = p^{*} + \alpha_{p}p^{*}$$

6) 以 $u_e$ ,  $v_n$  以及  $p^* + \alpha_p p'$ 开始下一层次的迭代计算。

#### 2. 两个基本简化假设

假设1: p\*独立设定;

假设2: 略去邻点速度修正量的影响。



MOE-KLTFSE

#### 3.2 SIMPLER、SIMPLEC、SIMPLEX与SIMPLE 的比较

#### 3.2.1 SIMPLER完全克服了第一个简化假设(1980)

#### 3.2.2 SIMPLEC减轻了第二个简化假设的影响(1984)

#### 3.2.3 SIMPLEX-SIMPLEC思想的拓展 (1986)

3.2.4 四种算法在密网格下收敛性的比较(2003)

#### 3.2.5 压力修正算法是一种预估一校正算法



#### **3.2 SIMPLER、SIMPLEC、SIMPLEX与SIMPLE**的比较

# **3.2.1 SIMPLER-Patankar** 完全克服了第一个简化假 设(1980)

- **1.** 假定一个速度场  $u^{(0)}$ ,  $v^{(0)}$ , 计算 $a_{e}$ ,  $a_{n}$ ,  $a_{nb}$ , b等;
- **2.** 由假定的速度 $u^{(0)}$ ,  $v^{(0)}$ , 计算假拟速度u,v, 进而根据质量守恒导出一个压力方程, 以确定  $p^*$ ; (完 全克服了简化假设1);







#### 3. 求解动量方程,得出临时速度场 u\*, v\*;

# 4. 据 u\*, v\*修正压力,获得修正分量p',要求与p'对应的u',v'使(u\*+u'),(v\*+v')满足质量守恒,由此导出压力修正方程(同SIMPLE);

5. 获得p'后修正速度,但不修正压力;

$$u_e = u_e^* + d_e(p_P - p_E)$$
  $v_n = v_n^* + d_n(p_P - p_N)$ 

**6.**  $以u_e, v_n$  开始下一层次的迭代计算。





**3.2.2 SIMPLEC-van Doormaal/Raithby** 减轻了第二 个简化假设的影响(1984)

- 1. 假定一个速度场;
- 2. 假定一个压力场;
- 3. 求解动量方程;



MOE-KLTFSE

4. 与SIMPLE有区别:

在速度修正量方程两边各减去  $\sum a_{nb}u'_{e}$  得:  $a_{e}u'_{e} - \sum a_{nb}u'_{e} = \sum a_{nb}u'_{nb} - \sum a_{nb}u'_{e} + b + A_{e}(p'_{P} - p'_{E})$   $u'_{e}(a_{e} - \sum a_{nb}) = \sum a_{nb}(u'_{nb} - u'_{e}) + b + A_{e}(p'_{P} - p'_{E})$ FD-NHT-EHT ENTER 12/160







#### 此即SIMPLEC中速度修正值计算式。

西安交通大學



 $u'_{e} = d_{e}(p'_{P} - p'_{E}) = d_{e}\Delta p'_{e}$   $u = u^{*} + u'$ 

$$v'_{n} = d_{n}(p'_{P} - p'_{N}) = d_{n}\Delta p'_{n}$$
  $v = v^{*} + v'$   
 $p = p^{*} + p'$ 

**6.**  $以u_e, v_n$  以及 $p^* + p'$ 开始下一层次的迭代计算。

#### 3.2.3 SIMPLEX-Raithby SIMPLEC思想的拓展 (1986)

SIMPLEC算法的实质性的一步是d的计算式的改

进,用以部分考虑邻点的影响;



MOE-KLTFSE

由此推广开去:如果能够形成一组关于计算 d 的方程, 使其能部分考虑邻点的影响,则也可加速收敛。 由 $u'_{e} = d_{e}(p'_{P} - p'_{E}) = d_{e}\Delta p'_{e}$  推广到 $u'_{nb} = d_{nb}\Delta p'_{nb}$ 代入到:  $a_e u'_e = \sum a_{nb} u'_{nb} + A_e (p'_P - p'_E)$ 得  $a_e d_e \Delta p'_e = \sum a_{nb} d_{nb} \Delta p'_{nb} + A_e \Delta p'_e$ 假定:  $\Delta p_{e}' = \Delta p_{nb}'$ 于是:  $a_e d_e \Delta p'_e = \sum a_{nb} d_{nb} \Delta p'_{nb} + A_e \Delta p'_e$  (新的假定) 得确定系数d 的代数方程组:  $a_e d_e = \sum a_{nb} d_{nb} + A_e$ 根据已知的动量方程系数可以由此求出d。边界条件是: "绝热型"---d方程的边界系数为0 15/160



## 得出上式时没有略去邻点的影响;但是引入了新的 假定: $\Delta p_{e} = \Delta p_{nb}$ 因此也仅能部分克服第二个假设。

#### 计算步骤如下:

- (1) 假定速度初场 $u^{0}, v^{0},$ 计算系数a, b
- (2) 假定压力场 p\*;
- (3) 求解动量离散方程,得u\*,v\*;
- (4) 求解 d方程,然后求解压力修正方程,得p';
- (5) 由 p'修正速度,得u',v';
- (6) 以 (u\*+u'),(v\*+v'),(p\*+p')作为本层次的速度场
  - 与压力,开始下一层次的迭代(p'不作亚松弛)。





#### 3.2.4 四种算法在密网格下收敛性的比较

	<b>岱</b> 法	做	做一个做小佃户
	丹仏	<b>矛</b> 一门间化IR足	<b>矛—门间化限</b> 化
	SIMPLE	<i>p*</i> 独立地假定,通过 修正量改进并亚松弛	$\sum a_{nb}u_{nb} = 0, d_e = A_e / a_e$
	SIMPLER	<i>p</i> *由已知的速度算出, 克服第一个假定,压力 修正量不修正压力	$\sum a_{nb}u'_{nb} = 0, d_e = A_e / a_e$
	SIMPLEC	<i>P*</i> 独立地假定,通过 修正量改进,但修正量 不亚松弛	$\sum_{nb} (u_{nb} - u_{e}) = 0,$ $d_{e} = A_{e} / (a_{e} - \sum_{nb})$ <b>减轻第二个假定影响</b>
	SIMPLEX	<i>P*</i> 独立地假定,通过 修正量改进,但修正量	$\Delta p'_{e} = \Delta p'_{nb}$ 求解 de
æ	CFD-NHT-EHT	个业松弛	





#### 密网格下对四个算例比较结果









(1)lid-driven cavity flow (2)flow in a tube with sudden expansion (3)natural convection in a square cavity

(4)natural convection in a horizontal annular

**1.CPU**时间: SIMPLER/SIMPLEX ≥ SIMPLE/SIMPLEC

2.健壮性: SIMPLE<SIMPLER < SIMPLEC/SIMPLEX

**3**.*d* 值: SIMPLEC/SIMPLEX大于 SIMPLE/SIMPLER

密网格下四种算法中SIMPLEC综合性能最优。

Zeng M, Tao W Q. A comparison study of the convergence characteristics and robustness for four variants of SIMPLE family at fine grids. Engineering Computations, 2003, 20(3/4):320-341



CENTER



<b>About</b> $d \qquad u' = d(\Delta p') \qquad d \uparrow \Delta p'$	$\checkmark$
---	--------------

Natural convection in a square cavity

42×42			82×82					
	SIMPLE	SIMPLER	SIMPLEC	SIMPLEX	SIMPLE S	SIMPLER	SIMPLEC	SIMPLEX
<i>d</i> <sub><i>u</i></sub> (10,6)	0.5927	0.5927	2.964	2.928	0.2981	0.2981	1.490	1.474
$d_u(20,20)$	0.5960	0.5960	2.980	2.979	0.2975	0.2975	5 1.488	1.488

Natural convection in a square cavity

	$42 \times 42$				82×82				
	SIMPLE	SIMPLER	SIMPLEC	SIMPLEX	SIMPLE	SIMPLER	SIMPLEC	SIMPLEX	
<i>d<sub>u</sub></i> (12,7)	1.929	1.930	9.643	9.525	0.99	99 0.9	<b>999</b> 2	4.999	4.976
d <sub>u</sub> (22,22	) <b>1.874</b>	1.873	9,368	9.265	0.96	12 0.90	512 4	.803	4.798

Thus in SIMPLEC, SIMPLEX no underrelaxation is needed for p '.





#### 3.2.5 压力修正算法是一种预估一校正算法

压力修正算法的计算步骤可以分为两大步:

- 预估步(prediction step): 1-3,由设定的u<sup>0</sup>, v<sup>0</sup>,
   到解出u<sup>\*</sup>, v<sup>\*</sup>;---得到速度点的预估值
- 校正步(correction step): 4-6, 解出p', 得出满足 质量守恒的u, v。----得到速度的校正值。

对以上的四种算法,预估与校正均各实施一次, 就转到下一层次计算。

1986年提出的PISO算法则进行两次校正,在一 定程度上该进了算法的收敛性。





#### 3.3 PISO算法

3.3.1 PISO算法的基本思想

3.3.2 PISO算法的实施步骤

1.预估步

2. 第一校正步

3.第二校正步

#### 3.3.3 校正步数目的影响

CFD-NHT-EHT





#### 3.3 PISO算法

#### 3.3.1 PISO算法的基本思想

一步预估,两步校正;在第一次校正中获得一个修正 后压力及相对应的速度,该速度满足质量守恒并显式 地满足动量守恒;如此连续几次校正可望能更好地同 时满足质量与动量守恒的速度场。

#### 3.3.2 PISO算法的实施步骤

**1.**预估步 完全同SIMPLE;

采用PISO算法中的符号,将预估步的算式表示为:



23/160

$$a_{e}u_{e}^{*} = \sum a_{nb}u_{nb}^{*} + b + A_{e}(p_{P}^{(k)} - p_{E}^{(k)})$$

$$a_{e}v_{n}^{*} = \sum a_{nb}v_{nb}^{*} + b + A_{n}(p_{P}^{(k)} - p_{N}^{(k)})$$
(1)

这样得出的速度满足线性动量守恒方程,但未必 满足质量守恒。所谓线性动量守恒方程是指动量方程 中的系数固定为常数,不受速度变化的影响这一特性。 2.第一校正步 基本同SIMPLE

设改进后的压力为 p\*= p<sup>(k)</sup>+p',相应的速度为u\*\*,

v\*\*,要求u\*\*,v\*\*显式地满足线性动量守恒方程:

$$a_{e}u_{e}^{**} = \sum a_{nb}u_{nb}^{*} + b + A_{e}(p_{P}^{*} - p_{E}^{*})$$

$$a_{e}v_{n}^{**} = \sum a_{nb}v_{nb}^{*} + b + A_{n}(p_{P}^{*} - p_{N}^{*})$$
(2)

显式地满足线性动量守恒方程





#### 将式(2)减式(1),邻点项可自动消去:

$$a_{e}u_{e}^{**} = \sum a_{nb}u_{nb}^{*} + b + A_{e}(p_{P}^{*} - p_{E}^{*})$$
$$a_{e}u_{e}^{*} = \sum a_{nb}u_{nb}^{*} + b + A_{e}(p_{P}^{(k)} - p_{E}^{(k)})$$

$$a_{e}(\underline{u^{**}} - \underline{u^{*}}) = A_{e}[(\underline{p_{P}^{*}} - \underline{p_{P}^{(k)}}) - (\underline{p_{E}^{*}} - \underline{p_{E}^{(k)}})]$$

$$u'_{e} = P_{e}(\underline{p_{P}^{*}} - \underline{p_{E}^{*}}) \quad u'_{e} = \frac{A_{e}}{a_{e}}(\underline{p_{P}^{*}} - \underline{p_{E}^{*}})$$

$$a_{n}v'_{n} = A_{n}(\underline{p_{P}^{*}} - \underline{p_{N}^{*}}) \quad v'_{n} = \frac{A_{n}}{a_{n}}(\underline{p_{P}^{*}} - \underline{p_{N}^{*}})$$

将(u\*+u'),(v\*+v')代入质量守恒方程:



### $[(\rho u)_e - (\rho u)_w] \Delta y + [(\rho v)_n - (\rho v)_s] \Delta x = 0$ (稳态问题) 可得

$$a_{P}p_{P}^{'} = a_{E}p_{E}^{'} + a_{W}p_{W}^{'} + a_{N}p_{N}^{'} + a_{S}p_{S}^{'} + b$$

$$a_{P} = a_{E} + a_{W} + a_{N} + a_{S}$$

$$a_{E} = d_{e}A_{e}\rho_{e} \ a_{W} = d_{w}A_{w}\rho_{w} \ a_{n} = d_{n}A_{n}\rho_{n} \ a_{S} = d_{s}A_{s}\rho_{s}$$

$$b = [(\rho u^{*})_{w} - (\rho u^{*})_{e}]A_{e} + [(\rho v^{*})_{s} - (\rho v^{*})_{n}]A_{n}$$

求解压力修正值方程后,由第一校正步得出:

$$u_e^{**} = u_e^* + d_e(p_P - p_E)$$
  $v_n^{**} = v_n^* + d_n(p_P - p_N)$ 



这一速度场满足质量守恒,显式地满足动量守恒:

$$a_{e}u_{e}^{**} = \sum a_{nb}u_{nb}^{*} + b + A_{e}(p_{P}^{*} - p_{E}^{*})$$
(2)

3.第二校正步 PISO特有

设这一步改进后压力为p\*\*,速度场为u\*\*\*,v\*\*\*, 同样要求速度场满足质量守恒,显式地满足动量守恒。

$$a_{e}u_{e}^{***} = \sum a_{nb}u_{nb}^{**} + b + A_{e}(p_{P}^{**} - p_{E}^{**})$$
(3)

$$a_{e}v_{n}^{***} = \sum a_{nb}v_{nb}^{**} + b + A_{n}(p_{P}^{**} - p_{N}^{**})$$

将式(3)减式(2),邻点项均属已知:





$$a_{e}(\underbrace{u_{e}^{***}-u_{e}^{**}}_{u}) = \sum a_{nb}(u_{nb}^{**}-u_{nb}^{*}) + A_{e}[\underbrace{(p_{P}^{**}-p_{P}^{*})-(p_{E}^{**}-p_{E}^{*})}_{p_{P}^{''}}]$$
  
$$\underbrace{u_{e}^{''}}_{u_{e}^{''}} = \sum [a_{nb}(u_{nb}^{**}-u_{nb}^{*}) + A_{e}(p_{P}^{''}-p_{E}^{''})]/a_{e}$$

**类似地** 
$$v_n^{"} = \sum [a_{nb}(v_{nb}^{**} - v_{nb}^{*}) + A_n(p_P^{"} - p_N^{"})]/a_n$$

要求速度场 u\*\*\*,v\*\*\* 满足质量守恒, 写成一般形式:

$$c_{e}u_{e}^{***} - c_{w}u_{w}^{***} + c_{n}v_{n}^{***} - c_{s}v_{s}^{***} = 0$$

将u\*\*\*=u\*\*+u"等代入,可得p"的方程:

$$a_{P}p_{P}^{"} = \sum a_{nb}p_{nb}^{"} + b$$







## 系数计算式同前, 但源项 b 为: $b=T_{w}-T_{e}+T_{s}-T_{n}$ 其中 $T_e = \frac{c_e}{a_e} \left[ \sum_{nb} a_{nb} (u_{nb}^{**} - u_{nb}^{*})_e \right] T_n = \frac{c_n}{a_n} \left[ \sum_{nb} a_{nb} (v_{nb}^{**} - v_{nb}^{*})_n \right]$ 获得 p<sup>"</sup> 后第二次改进的速度为: $u_{e}^{***} = u_{e}^{**} + \frac{1}{\alpha} \left[ \sum_{n} a_{nb} (u_{e}^{**} - u_{e}^{*}) + A_{e} (p_{P}^{"} - p_{E}^{"}) \right]$

 $v_e^{***} = v_e^{**} + \frac{1}{a_n} \left[ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{nb} \left( v_n^{**} - v_n^{*} \right) + A_n \left( p_P^{"} - p_N^{"} \right) \right]$ 

#### 3.3.3 校正步数目的影响

一般两个校正步即可,第三步的影响就不明显。



PISO算法的多步计算只在校正步中实施。我们后 来的实践经验表明同时在预估与校正步中实施多步修 正效果更加明显。

CFD-NHT-EHT CENTER

╓┓





#### **3.4 CLEAR Algorithm**

**3.4.1** Efforts to overcome the 2nd assumption

**3.4.2** Basic idea of the fully implicit algorithm – **CLEAR** 

**3.4.3** Basic numerical steps of one iteration in **CLEAR** 

**3.4.4** Improvement of the robustness of **CLEAR** 

**3.4.5** Computational procedures of one iteration in **CLEAR** 

#### **3.4.6** Discussion on **CLEAR** algorithm

## **3.4.7** Numerical examples of **CLEAR**



**3.4.1 Efforts to overcome the 2<sup>nd</sup> assumption** 

- 1) SIMPLEC by van Doormaal/Raithby (1984)
- 2) SIMPLEX by van Doormaal/Raithby (1986)
- 3) SIMPLE-with Date modification (1986)
- 4) Explicit correction step method by Yen and Liu(1993)
- 5) SIMPLE-with Sheng et al. modification (1998)
- 6) MSIMPLER by Yu et al. (2001)

All these variants can only partially overcome the effect of 2nd assumption. Why?

**MOE-KLTFSE** 



**Analysis:** When the update of velocity is conducted along the line by adding a small correction to the previous solution, this assumption can never be overcome for the practical management of the solution of the pressure correction equations.



Fig. 2-D staggered grid

() 历步交通大学



# Finally the pressure corrections at all points in the x-direction will be involved.

33/160



In years of 2004 and 2008, our group developed CLEAR and IDEAL, respectively. Both versions completely delete the 2<sup>nd</sup> assumption, making the algorithm fully-implicit.

**3.4.2** Basic idea of the fully implicit algorithm—CLEAR

In the CLEAR algorithm, the improved pressure and velocity are solved directly, rather than by adding a correction term to the intermediate solution —a key point of CLEAR (ZGQu,屈治国).

Tao WQ, Qu ZG, He YL, A novel segregated algorithm for incompressible fluid flow and heat transfer problems - Clear (coupled and linked equations algorithm revised) part I: Mathematical formulation and solution procedure ,Numerical Heat Transfer, Part B, 2004, 45 (1): 1-17



MOE-KLTFSE

#### **3.4.3 Basic numerical steps of one iteration in CLEAR**

The basic numerical steps in CLEAR algorithm: **Step 1:** Assuming an initial velocity field  $u^0$ ,  $v^0$ ; **and calculating the coefficient of the discretized** 

momentum equation and pseudo-velocity:

$$\tilde{v}_{n}^{0} = \frac{\sum a_{nb}v_{nb}^{0} + b}{a_{n}} \qquad u_{e}^{0} = \frac{\sum a_{nb}u_{nb}^{0} + b}{a_{e}}$$

**Step 2:** Solving the pressure equation and obtaining the temporary pressure field  $p^*$ ;

Step 3: Solving the momentum equation to get *u*\*, (以上同SIMPLR)

(1) 百安交通大學



#### **Step 4:** Recalculating the coefficient of momentum equation and the pseudo-velocity u, v based on the intermediate velocity solution $u^*$ , $v^*$ , and the improved velocity is expressed by

$$u_{e} = u_{e}^{*} + d_{e}(p_{P} - p_{E})$$
  $v_{n} = v_{n} + d_{n}(p_{P} - p_{N})$ 

where  $u_e^*$  and  $\tilde{v}_n^*$  are actually representing the effects of the neighboring grid points,

and re-solving pressure equation for the improved pressure field based on  $\mathcal{U}_e$ ,  $\mathcal{V}_n$ :

$$a_{P}p_{P} = \sum a_{nb}p_{nb} + b$$

$$a_{E} = (\rho Ad)_{e} \qquad a_{N} = (\rho Ad)_{n} \qquad a_{P} = a_{E} + a_{W} + a_{N} + a_{S}$$

$$b = (\rho u^{*}A)_{w} - (\rho u^{*}A)_{e} + (\rho \tilde{v}^{*}A)_{s} - (\rho \tilde{v}^{*}A)_{n}$$

36/160




# **Step 5:** Improving the velocity to obtain the solution of the present iteration.

 $u_e = u^* + d_e(p_P - p_E)$   $v_n = v^* + d_n(p_P - p_N)$ Step 6: Returning to step 1 and repeating until convergence is reached.

The major assumption made in the SIMPLE-family is totally discarded and a significant acceleration of the convergence speed is obtained.

This algorithm is called CLEAR-standing for Coupled & Linked Equations Algorithm Revised. The pressure equation are solved twice in CLEAR. Numerically CLEAR can still be regarded as the Prediction—Correction method. But correction is CEPT-NHT-EHT 37/160



conducted by directly solve a new pressure rather than by adding a small correction value .

Numerical practices have found that the above computational procedure is sometimes less robust than SIMPLER, probably because its too big change between the solutions of two successive iterations.

### **3.4.4 Improvement of the robustness of CLEAR**

In order to increase its robustness, apart from the underrelaxaton of velocity which is incorporated into the solution process as SIMPLE-like algorithm, a second relaxation is introduced in the determination of the pseudo-velocity in the correction step.

1. New equation for the pseudo-velocity in the correction





MOE-KLTFSE (

The discretized momentum equation with incorperated underrelaxation procedure is :

$$\frac{a_e}{\alpha}u_e = \sum a_{nb}u_{nb} + b + (p_P - p_E)A_e + \frac{1 - \alpha}{\alpha}a_e u_e^0$$

Replacing *u* and  $u^{0}$  at the right hand of the above equation by  $u^{*}$  and rewrite into following form:



Taking it as the second pseudo-velocity based on *u*\*,*v*\*,and replacing Alfa by Beta









The improved velocity in the correction step is then:

$$u_{e} = u_{e}^{*} + d_{e}(p_{P} - p_{E})$$
  $v_{n} = \tilde{v}_{n}^{*} + d_{n}(p_{P} - p_{N})$ 

where the coefficients  $a_e$ ,  $a_n$ ,  $a_{nb}$  are determined based on the intermediate velocity  $u^*$ ,  $v^*$ .

2. Analysis of the role of the 2nd relaxation factor

Beta occurs in both denominator and nominator. The effect of Beta in the denominator is predominant.

40/160

(1) 西安交通大学



$$u_{e}^{*} = \frac{\sum a_{nb}u_{nb}^{*} + b + \frac{1 - \beta}{\beta}a_{e}u_{e}^{*}}{a_{e} / \beta}$$

Beta, denominator, hence peusdo-velocity Beta, denominator, hence peusdo-velocity

Since 
$$u_e = u_e^* + d_e(p_P - p_E)$$
  $v_n = \tilde{v}_n^* + d_n(p_P - p_N)$ 

For a certain values of *u* and *v*, decreasing pesudo-v. will leads to an increase in pressure, and vice versa.

Thus: 
$$\beta \downarrow u_e, v_n \downarrow \Delta p \uparrow \longrightarrow p \uparrow$$
  
 $\beta \downarrow u_e, v_n \uparrow \Delta p \downarrow \longrightarrow p \downarrow$ 

Beta can be regarded as a relaxation factor for pressure, and the larger the Beta, the smaller the pressure.



MOE-KLTFSE (

## **Recommended value of beta:**

$$-0.5, 0 \le \alpha \le 0.5$$

V. is underrelaxed heavily , *p* can be a bit larger;

 $3 = -\frac{1.0}{1.0}, \ 0.5 \le \alpha \le 1.0$  V. is underrelaxed mildly, *p* is also in middle range;

When iteration is difficult to converge, pressure should be underrelaxed heavily.

**3.4.5 Computational procedures of one iteration in CLEAR** 

**Step 1:** Assuming an initial velocity field  $u^0$ ,  $v^0$ ; and calculating the coefficient of the discretized momentum equation and pseudo-velocity: **42/160** 







**Step 2:** Solving the pressure equation and obtaining the temporary pressure field  $p^*$ ,  $u_e^0$ ,  $v_n^0$  are in the source term *b*;

**Step 3:** Solving the momentum equation to get  $u^*$ ,  $v^*$ ;

**Step 4:** Recalculating the coefficient of momentum equation and the pseudo-velocity  $u_{e}^{*}, \tilde{v}_{n}^{*}$  based on the intermediate velocity solution  $u^{*}, v^{*}$ , and solving the equation of the improved pressure *p*; where

1 百步交通大學

in the source term  $b_{*}$  is calculated from the improved pseudo-velocity  $u_{e}$ ,  $v_{n}$ , which are:



**Step 5:** Improving the velocity to obtain the solution of the present iteration.

$$u_{e} = u_{e}^{*} + d_{e}(p_{P} - p_{E}) v_{n} = \tilde{v}_{n}^{*} + d_{n}(p_{P} - p_{N}) d_{e} = \frac{A_{e}}{a_{e}/\alpha}$$

**Step 6:** Taking *u*, *v*, *p* as the solutions of this iteration, returning to step 1 and repeating until convergence is reached.

**MOE-KLTFSE** 





## **3.4.6 Discussion on CLEAR algorithm**

1. In one iteration two pressure equations are solved, while in SIMPLER one pressure equation and one pressure correction equation are solved. Hence the computational costs of CLEAR and SIMPLER are more or less the same, but the improvements made are different. Usually the improvement in CLEAR is better than that in SIMPLER;

2. In one iteration the coefficients of momentum equation are calculated twice: one for solving the momentum equation, the other for calculation of <sup>\*</sup> ~<sup>\*</sup> , <sup>\*</sup> , not for solving the momentum equation.
Thus the increase in the computational cost is not
is significant.



MOE-KLTFSE

## **3.4.7 Numerical examples of CLEAR**

## Example 1





CENTER

**MOE-KLTFSE** 

## Example 2



47/160







**Example 3 Flow in lid-driven annular cavity** 





MOE-KLTFSE (22)



궘

CENTER



## Example 4







# Example 5



#### **Example 5: Natural convection in annulus**





MOE-KLTFSE (2)

# Example 6



**Example 6 :Natural convection in square cavity** 

51/160



The six comparison examples in orthogonal coordinates with staggered grids show that ratios of ITER and CPU times of CLEAR over SIMPLER are:

ITER=0.15~0.84; CPU=0.19~0.92 Saving in CPU time is very appreciable. Extension to non-staggered grid, and non-

orthogonal coordinates has been made, and the same results have been obtained.

However, for some cases the robustness of CLEAR is inferior to SIMPLER!

西安交通大学



MOE-KLTFSE

# **3.4.8** Comparison between **SIMPLER** and **CLEAR** in curvilinear non-orthogonal coordinates

# Lid-driven flow in a tilted cavity







CENTER





**MOE-KLTFSE** 



# The smallest angle at which SIMPLER can work is **30 degrees;**

# The smallest angle at which CLEAR can work is **5** degrees !

55/160

**Fig. Comparison in non-orthogonal coordinates** 



MOE-KLTFSE

# At this angle the grid lines of the two coordinates are nearly parallel, showing very good robustness!







Tao WQ, Qu ZG, He YL, A novel segregated algorithm for incompressible fluid flow and heat transfer problems - Clear (coupled and linked equations algorithm revised) part II: Application examples, **Numerical Heat Transfer, Part B**, 2004, 45 (1): 19-48

## 推荐阅读

Tao WQ, Qu ZG, He YL, A novel segregated algorithm for incompressible fluid flow and heat transfer problems - Clear (coupled and linked equations algorithm revised) part I: Mathematical formulation and solution procedure **,Numerical Heat Transfer, Part B,** 2004, 45 (1): 1-17

Qu ZG, Tao WQ, He YL. Implementation of CLEAR algorithm on collocated grid system and application examples. **Numerical Heat Transfer, B,** 2005 (1):65-96.

Qu Z G, He Y L, Zhao C Y, Tao W Q. Implementation of CLEAR algorithm on non-orhtogonal curvilinear coordinates for solution of incompressible flow and heat transfer. **Int J Numerical Methods in Fluids**. 2007, 53:1077-1105



# 3.5 IDEAL 算法

**3.5.1** Analysis of the weakness of CLEAR algorithm

**3.5.2** Basic features of an ideal algorithm

**3.5.3** Solution procedure of the IDEAL algorithm

**3.5.4** The first inner iteration process

西安交通大學

**3.5.5** The second inner iteration process

**3.5.6** Three kinds of "iteration" in IDEAL

**3.5.7** Discussion of the IDEAL algorithm

**3.5.8** Application examples of IDEAL



# **3.5 IDEAL Algorithm**

## **3.5.1 Analysis of the weakness of CLEAR algorithm**

The robustness of CLEAR is somewhat worse than SIMPLER. Then what is the reason?

This issue has attracted researchers in the international community. For example, CHENG and LEE in Singapore University presented following analysis and proposed what they call CLEARER algorithm.

For the lid-driven cavity flow they recorded the convergence history of SIMPLER, CLEAR and CLEARER and obtained following results.



### Lid-driven cavity flow, Re=1000

西安交通大學

(温)

га



11) 西安交通大學

MOE-KLTFSE

They attributed the oscillation of the numerical solution during iteration to the fact that in SIMPLER the revised velocity is composed of two parts: a major part—intermediate velocity  $u^*,v^*$  and the minor part—corrections u', v',

$$u_{e} = u_{e}^{*} + d_{e}(p_{P} - p_{E})$$

while in CLEAR the corrected velocity is :

$$u_e = u_e^* + d_e(p_P - p_E)$$
  $v_n = u_n^* + d_n(p_P - p_N)$ 

where the two parts of each equation are estimated in the same order. Thus any oscillation in pressure will lead to the bumpiness of velocity.



They proposed a combination of SIMPLER and CLEAR by computing the revised velocity in the following way:

$$u_{e} = u_{e}^{*} + d_{e}(p_{P}^{*} - p_{E}^{*}) + d_{e}(p_{P}^{'} - p_{E}^{'})$$
$$v_{n} = \tilde{v}_{n}^{*} + d_{n}(p_{P}^{*} - p_{N}^{*}) + d_{n}(p_{P}^{'} - p_{N}^{'})$$

where *p*' is solved by the pressure correction equation. This revised version is named as CLEARER.

Cheng Y P, Lee T S, Low H T, Tao W Q. An efficient and robust numerical scheme for the SIMPLER algorithm on non-orthogonal curvilinear coordinates: CLEARER. Numerical Heat Transfer, B, 2007, 51:433-461

西安交通大学



# **Our preliminary considerations**

Even though CHENG-LEE's revised version possesses some advantage, our consideration would go another direction:

Since the introduction of the velocity correction components makes the algorithm semi-implicit again and it is our believe that semi-implicit poses some limitation to the convergence .

In the PISO algorithm: one more correction step helps to improve convergence; One more correction step implies a better satisfaction of both momentum and mass conservation!



# From **CLEAR** and **PISO** we can get a hint: a perfect algorithm should possess following features,

## **3.5.2 Basic features of an ideal algorithm**

An" ideal algorithm" should possess three basic features: (1) The initial pressure field should be close to the final result of the current iteration level as possible as it can. (2) The final velocity and pressure of each iteration level should satisfy both the momentum and continuity equations as fully as possible. ---from PISO

(3) In every step of iteration both pressure and velocity should be directly solved, no any correction term should be involved. ---from CLEAR



### **3.5.3 Solution Procedure of the IDEAL Algorithm**





MOE-KLTFSE 🥃

# The purpose of the two inner iterations are different:

The first inner iteration process for pressure equation is to get a good pressure field for the solution of the momentum equations, simply speaking, to get  $p^*$ ;---Multiple prediction

The second inner iteration process for pressure equation is to improve the velocity fields such that both mass & momentum conservations can be better satisfied. The improvement of velocity field is not proceeded by adding a small correction term, rather, the updated velocity field is obtained via the improved pressure field. ---Multiple correction





MOE-KLTFSE

### **3.5.4** The first inner iteration process



#### SIMPLER uses this pressure to solve mom. eq.





Taking the last solution of pressure of the  $1^{st}$  inner iteration as the  $p^*$  for the solution of momentum equation;

Solving the momentum equation to get the intermediate velocities *u*\*, *v*\*;

Based on the intermediate velocities *u*\*, *v*\* conducting the second inner iteration.





MOE-KLTFSE ( 🖃

### **3.5.5 The second inner iteration process**





Taking the last solution of pressure of the 2nd inner iteration to improve the solution of velocity such that both the mass conservation and momentum equations are expected to be satisfied quite well:

$$u_e = \tilde{u}_e^{PTemp} + d_e(p_P - p_E)$$

$$v_n = \tilde{v}_n^{PTemp} + d_n(p_P - p_N)$$



## **3.5.6 Three kinds of "iteration" in IDEAL**

**1.** The 1<sup>st</sup> kind: Outer iteration (for nonlinearity) controlled by ITER, to up-date the coefficient of momentum equations;

**2.** The 2<sup>nd</sup> kind: Two Inner iteration (for pressure & velocity) controlled by N1,N2, to find a good initial pressure and to obtain a velocity field which can fairly well satisfy both mass and momentum conservations;

**3.** The 3rd kind: Inner iteration (for solving algebraic equations) controlled by NTIMES, to solve the algebraic equation by ADI with block correction technique.

## 4.5.7 Discussion of the IDEAL algorithm

**1.** The pressure and velocity equations are solved by inner doubly-iterative methods. Thus it is called Inner Doubly-iterative Efficient Algorithm for Linked-equations (IDEAL)

**2.** The conservation condition of mass and momentum is almost fully satisfied at each iteration level, the velocity and pressure under-relaxation factors may be set to a quite large value and need not to be adjusted. A value of 0.9 (and even 1.0) for both the velocity and pressure under-relaxation factors can be used.


MOE-KLTFSE

**3**. The inner doubly-iterative times N1, N2 need to be adjusted so that the conservation of mass and momentum can be almost fully guaranteed at each iteration level. In our study, the inner doubly-iterative times N1 and N2 are usually set as 4;

For some situations such as very high-*Re/Ra* or very fine-mesh flow cases larger values may be needed.

In IDEAL algorithm the convergence and stability of iteration process can be controlled by adjusting the inner doubly-iterative times N1, N2.

**4.** The IDEAL algorithm can be regarded as a multistep solution algorithm in both prediction and correction stages.



Comparison

conditions

**MOE-KLTFSE** (

## **3.5.8 Application Examples of IDEAL**

- (1) Discretization scheme;
  - (2) Solution of the algebraic equations;
  - (3) Under-relaxation factor;
  - (4) Grid system;
  - (5) Convergence criterion:

$$Rs_{Mass} = \frac{MAX[|(\rho u^{*}A)_{w} - (\rho u^{*}A)_{e} + (\rho v^{*}A)_{s} - (\rho v^{*}A)_{n} |]}{q_{m}}$$

$$Rs_{VMom} = \frac{MAX\{|a_{n}v_{n}^{0} - [\sum_{nb} a_{nb}v_{nb}^{0} + b + A_{n}(p_{P} - p_{N})]|\}}{\rho u_{m}^{2}}$$

$$Rs_{UMom} = \frac{MAX\{|a_{e}u_{e}^{0} - [\sum_{nb} a_{nb}u_{nb}^{0} + b + A_{e}(p_{P} - p_{E})]|\}}{\rho u_{m}^{2}}$$



#### **Problem 1: 2D lid-driven cavity flow in a square cavity**

# For *Re*=100 ~10000, grid numbers = $129 \times 129 \sim 260 \times 260$ .



● 历步交通大学

MOE-KLTFSE

Grid Numbers	Comparison terms		Re							
			100	400	1000	3200	5000	7500	10000	
<u>52 × 52</u>	Time(s)	IDEAL	2.89	2.39	2.03					
		SIMPLER	7.92	7.35	16.14					
		Ratio	0.365	0.325	0.126					
	Iteration Numbers	IDEAL	234	184	163					
		SIMPLER	1264	1152	2604					
		Ratio	0.185	0.160	0.063					
	N1, N2 used in IDEAL		4, 4	4, 4	4, 4					

() 历安交通大学



Crid	Comparison terms		Re							
Numbers			100	400	1000	3200	5000	7500	10000	
	Time(s)	IDEAL	18.95	13.57	12.64					
		SIMPLER	56.7	46.95	69.7					
		Ratio	0.334	0.289	0.181					
en × en	Iteration Numbers	IDEAL	499	356	334					
02 \ 02		SIMPLER	3171	2623	3911					
		Ratio	0.157	0.136	0.085					
	N1, N2 IDEAL	used in	4, 4	4, 4	4, 4					



() 历安交通大学



Crid	Comparison terms		Re							
Gria Numbers			100	400	1000	3200	5000	7500	10000	
130 ×	Time(s)	IDEAL	С	С	С	С	С			
		SIMPLER	D	D	D	D	D			
		Ratio	0	0	0	0	0			
	Iteration Numbers	IDEAL	С	С	С	С	С			
<u>130</u>		SIMPLER	D	D	D	D	D			
		Ratio	0	0	0	0	0			
	N1, N2 IDEAL	used in	5, 5	5, 5	5, 5	5, 5	5, 5			
									+	



● 历步交通大学

MOE-KLTFSE

Grid	Comparison terms		Re						
Numbers			100	400	1000	3200	5000	7500	10000
260 × 260	Time(s)	IDEAL	С	С	С	С	С	С	С
		SIMPLER	D	D	D	D	D	D	D
		Ratio	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Iteration Numbers	IDEAL	С	С	С	С	С	С	С
		SIMPLER	D	D	D	D	D	D	D
		Ratio	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	N1, N2 IDEAL	used in	10,10	10,10	10,10	10,10	10,10	10, 10	10, 10
	IDEAL								



(1) あ安交通大学

CENTER







CENTER



Fig. Predicted velocity distributions for *Re*=5000 and gird numbers=130×130 (*a*) *U* component distribution along *X*=0.5; (*b*) *V* component distribution along *Y*=0.5.

**MOE-KLTFSE** 



CENTER





Fig. Predicted velocity distributions for *Re*=10000 and gird numbers=260 × 260
(a) U component distribution along X=0.5;
(b) V component distribution along Y=0.5.

82/160





**Fig. Ratios of CPU time and iteration numbers** 





MOE-KLTFSE



### CFD-NHT-EHT

### **Fig. Convergence history at Re** $=1000, 52 \times 52$









Fig. Convergence history at Re=10000,  $260 \times 260$ 







Fig. Predicted flow fields at *Re*=1000 to 7500

86/160

MOE-KLTFSE (2)



CENTER

### **Problem 2: 2D natural convection in a square cavity**



### Problem 3: 2D laminar fluid flow over a rectangular backward-facing Step

### Problem 4: 2D natural convection in a square cavity with an internal isolated vertical plate







### **Problems 5: 3D lid-driven cavity flow**



### Flow configuration of lid-driven cavity flow in a cubic cavity





Comparison of velocity profiles u and v along the central axes on plane z=0.5H for Re=1000

1 百步交通大學





Comparison of computation time and robustness of IDEAL, SIMPLER, SIMPLEC and PISO algorithms for (a) *Re*=100 and (b) *Re*=300 with grid number=32×32×32 GENTER 90/160







Comparison of computation time and robustness of an ideal algorithm, SIMPLER, SIMPLEC and PISO algorithms for (a) Re=100 and (b) Re=500 with grid number= $52 \times 52 \times 52$ 







Comparison of computation time and robustness of an ideal algorithm, SIMPLER, SIMPLEC and PISO algorithms for (a)Re=100 and (b)Re=1000 with grid number=82×82×82



MOE-KLTFSE

# Reduced ratio of computation time of IDEAL algorithm over SIMPLER, SIMPLEC and PISO algorithms at their own optimal time step multiples

Grid number	32×3	2×32	52×5	2×52	2×82	
Re	100 300		100 500		100	1000
<b>Reducing ratio</b> over SIMPLER	33.1%	30.1%	33.5%	35.3%	40.3%	45.9%
<b>Reducing ratio</b> over <b>SIMPLEC</b>	54.0%	46.9%	50.0%	45.3%	51.4%	56.9%
Reducing ratio over PISO	32.1%	27.3%	33.8%	33.2%	38.8%	43.0%



MOE-KLTFSE

### **Problem 6: 3D flow over a back-ward step**



# Flow configuration of laminar fluid flow over a 3-D backward-facing step









Comparison of computation time and robustness of IDEAL, SIMPLER, SIMPLEC and PISO algorithms for (a) Re=100 and (b) Re=300 with grid number=80×20×20







Comparison of computation time and robustness of IDEAL, SIMPLER, SIMPLEC and PISO algorithms for Re=100 with grid number=160×41×41



# Reducing ratio of computation time of IDEAL algorithm over SIMPLER, SIMPLEC and PISO algorithms at their own optimal time step multiples, i.e., optimal underrelaxation factor

Grid number	80×2	$160 \times 41 \times 41$	
Re	100	300	100
Reducing ratio over SIMPLER	52.6%	49.6%	50.8%
<b>Reducing ratio</b> over SIMPLEC	79.1%	69.6%	75.8%
Reducing ratio over PISO	43.1%	46.5%	36.3%



西安交通大學



MOE-KLTFSE

### **Problem 7: 3D natural convection in enclosure**



Flow configuration of natural convection in a cubic cavity.



(2) あ安交通大学





Temperatures at the plane *z*=0.5*H* for *Ra*=10<sup>6</sup>, obtained (a) from reference , (b) by IDEAL algorithm

CFD-NHT-EHT

副 西安交通大学





Comparison of computation time and robustness for  $Ra=10^4$ with grid number= $30 \times 30 \times 30$  Comparison of computation time and robustness for  $Ra=10^5$ with grid number= $50 \times 50 \times 50$ 



MOE-KLTFSE

### Problem 8: 3D flow over a back-ward step with complex structure



### Flow configuration of laminar fluid flow through a 3-D duct with complicated structure









Comparison of computation time and robustness with grid number= $150 \times 20 \times 20$ 

102/160







Comparison of computation time and robustness with grid number=190×29×29





### **Problem 9: 3D lid-driven flow in a complex cavity**



### Flow configuration of lid-driven cavity flow in a cubic cavity with complicated structure

104/160

() 西安交通大学

MOE-KLTFSE



Comparison of velocity profiles *u* along the central axes *y* on plane *z*=0.5*H* for *Re*=500.







(a) *Re*=100

(b) *Re*=500

### **Comparison of computation time and robustness with grid** number=52×52×52



1) 历步交通大学





**Comparison of computation time and robustness with** grid number=82×82×82





Extension of the IDEAL algorithm to 3D and body-fitted coordinates have been completed and the improvements in convergence and robustness are even much more significant.

Sun DL, Qu Z G, He Y L, Tao WQ. An efficient segregated algorithm for incompressible fluid flow and heat transfer problems-IDEAL (Inner doubly iterative efficient algorithm for linked equation) Part I:Mathematical formulation and solution. Numerical Heat Transfer, Part B, 2008,53(1);1-17

Sun DL, Qu Z G, He Y L, Tao WQ. An efficient segregated algorithm for incompressible fluid flow and heat transfer problems-IDEAL (Inner doubly iterative efficient algorithm for linked equation) Part II:Application examples. **Numerical Heat Transfer, Part B,** 2008,53(1);18-38








# 第3章 压力与速度耦合算法研究进展

# 3.1 SIMPLE系列算法的基本思想

# 3.2 SIMPLER、SIMPLEC、SIMPLEX与SIMPL 的比较

3.3 PISO算法介绍

3.4 CLEAR算法

3.5 IDEAL算法

3.6 非结构化网格上流场的求解

3.7 SIMPL系列算法向可压缩流的发展







#### 3.6 非结构化网格上流场的求解

- 3.6.1 非结构化网格上求解流场的三个选择
- 3.6.2 积分形式的对流扩散方程及其离散
- 3.6.3 对流项的离散格式
- 3.6.4 扩散项和源项的离散
- 3.6.5 离散方程的最终形式

3.6.6 压力修正方程的导出

3.6.7 非结构化网格上SIMPLE算法的实施步骤





# 3.6 非结构化网格上流场的求解

# 3.6.1 非结构化网格上求解流场的三个选择

- 作为求解变量速度的选择
  直角坐标分量;逆变分量;协变分量
- 2. 节点位置选择:单元顶点;单元中心
- 3. 速度与压力的相对位置: 交叉网格; 同位网格
- 3.6.2 积分形式的对流扩散方程及其离散

采用积分形式,将二阶导数降低到一阶导数,以减 轻导数离散的难度:





对稳态问题,积分形式对流-扩散方程:

$$\int_{A} (\rho \vec{u} \phi - \Gamma_{\phi} \nabla \phi) \bullet d\vec{A} = \int_{V} S_{\phi} dV$$

将计算区域 V 用有限个三角形单元来离散, 对其中任 意一个三角形:  $\sum_{j=1}^{3} \int_{A_{j}} (\rho \vec{u} \phi - \Gamma_{\phi} \nabla \phi) \bullet d\vec{A} = \int_{V} S_{\phi} dV$  $P_2$ 上式可简写为:  $\sum_{j=1}^{j} (C_j + D_j) = \int S_{\phi} dV$  $P_3$ ä 🕐 :  $C_i, D_i$ 为界面上对流,扩散项的离散表达式。 112/160





# 3.6.3 对流项的离散格式

$$C_{j} = \int_{A_{j}} \rho \vec{u} \phi \bullet d \overrightarrow{A_{j}} \cong (\rho \vec{u} \phi)_{j} \bullet \overrightarrow{A_{j}} = (\rho \vec{u} \bullet \overrightarrow{A_{j}}) \phi_{j} = F_{j} \phi_{j}$$

 $F_j$ 为界面流量,流出为正,流入为负。两个问题:

1) 如何计算界面上的  $\phi_j$  ——格式问题;

2) 如何计算界面流量 $F_j$  ——同位网格特有的问题。



(1) あ安交通大学



# 2)中心差分格式 $\phi_j$ 取为 $\phi_{P_j}$ 与 $\phi_{P_0}$ 的算术平均值。 3) 二阶迎风格式



在直角坐标系中,当u<sub>w</sub>>0时有:







#### 4) 混合迎风格式

通过权因子 / 将一阶与二阶迎风组合起来:

 $\phi_{j} = \begin{cases} \phi_{P_{0}} + \gamma(\nabla\phi)_{P_{0}} \bullet (\overrightarrow{r_{j}} - \overrightarrow{r_{P_{0}}}), F_{j} > 0\\ \phi_{P_{i}} + \gamma(\nabla\phi)_{P_{i}} \bullet (\overrightarrow{r_{j}} - \overrightarrow{r_{P_{i}}}), F_{j} < 0 \end{cases} (0 \le \gamma \le 1)$ 

在非结构化网格上如何实现高阶格式仍然是一个难题!

2. 如何计算  $(\nabla \phi)_{P_0}$ 

要确定计算单元中的  $(\nabla \phi)_{P_0}$ 就是要计算直角坐标系 中的分量  $\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y}$  的离散表达式。一种简单的方法:

1) 将一阶导数的离散表达式看成是一阶导数在该单 元中的平均值 (FVM的基本思想): 副 西安交通大學



2) 矢量  $\vec{\phi}$  的散度为:  $div(\vec{\phi}) = \frac{\partial \phi_x}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \phi_y}{\partial y}$ 

其中  $\phi_x, \phi_y$  为  $\phi$  在 x, y 轴上的分量。

如果 $\vec{\phi} = \vec{\phi}\vec{i} + 0 \bullet \vec{j}$  则  $div(\vec{\phi}) = \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x}$ 

因此  $\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x}$  可以看成是矢量  $\vec{\phi} = \phi \vec{i}$  的散度;

**MOE-KLTFSE** 





3)利用上述结果和Gauss降维定律,导数计算式中的体积分可以进一步简化:







类似地 
$$\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y}$$
 可以看成是矢量  $\vec{\phi} = \phi \vec{j}$  的散度;  
 $\int_{V} (\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y}) dV = \sum_{j=1}^{3} \phi_{j} A_{j}^{y} (j=1,2,3)$ 



# 3. 如何计算界面流速

这是保证不出现波形压力场的关键步骤。回顾结 构化网格上的一种确定方法为:





#### **1.** 交叉网格成功的经验—引入**1**— $\delta$ 压差及 **C**( $\delta^2$ )

- $1-\delta$  压差可有效地克服不合理的波形压力分布;
- $O(\delta^2)$ 保证压力Poisson方程的扩散特性。
- 2. 在非交叉网格上控制方程的离散

1) 动量守恒





2) 质量守恒

对主节点控制容积写出,需要用到未知的界面流速:

$$(\rho u A)_e - (\rho u A)_w + (\rho v A)_n - (\rho v A)_s = 0$$

CFD-NHT-EHT

120/160



MOE-KLTFSE

#### 3) 界面流速的确定

界面流速的确定为引入  $1-\delta$  压差提供了途径;模仿  $u_P, u_E$ 的计算式:  $u_E = u_E - (\frac{A_P}{a_P})_E (p_e - p_w)_E$ 类似地,试将界面流速表示为:  $u_e = u_e - \left(\frac{A_P}{D}\right)_e (p_E - p_P)$  $u_w(u,v,p,T)$  $\mathcal{A}_{P}$ E界面的东、西邻点压差 上式也是界面流速的动量方程。

如果界面流速表示为  $u_P, u_E$  间的线性插值,则就失去了机会。Rhie-Chow引入了这种插值,称为动量插值(momentum interpolation method-MIM)



MOE-KLTFSE





参考结构化网格上界面流速计算的基本思想—— 引入相邻两点间的压力差;但非结构化网格上几何关 系复杂,不宜采用界面的假拟速度这样的参量。

目前文献中采用的一种确定界面流速的方法为



● あ安交通大学

MOE-KLTFSE

在 A<sub>i</sub> 方向上的一个速度小量(包含相邻2点压差)







#### 类似于结构化网格上同位网格的动量插值:



#### 在非结构化网格上从量纲来看







讨论: 由于非结构化网格的复杂性, 难以象结构化 网格上那样,模拟主节点上的动量方程而写出包含 相邻两点间压差的界面流速计算式,并且不引入其 它的附加量; 在非结构化网格中在中心差分得到的 界面流速上增加的上述的附加项虽为小量,对质量 守恒仍会有一定影响; 但为保证不出现波形压力场 而不得已而为之。

4. C<sub>j</sub>的计算式

1) 对于一阶与二阶迎风的混合格式:





$$C_{j} = F_{j}\phi_{j} = \max(F_{j}, 0)[\phi_{P_{0}} + \gamma(\nabla\phi)_{P_{0}} \bullet (\overrightarrow{r_{j}} - \overrightarrow{r_{P_{0}}})]$$
$$-\max(-F_{j}, 0)[\phi_{P_{j}} + \gamma(\nabla\phi)_{P_{j}} \bullet (\overrightarrow{r_{j}} - \overrightarrow{r_{P_{j}}})]$$

为导出最终的离散方程,需要将上式进行改写。

2)回顾结构化网格上推导系数时要求质量守恒条件 成立的过程:

(1) 对一维模型方程在P控制容积内做积分,取分段 线性型线



西安交通大學







# (2) 系数间关系的寻找

將主对角元系数 
$$a_P = \frac{1}{2}(\rho u)_e + \frac{\Gamma_e}{(\delta x)_e} - \frac{1}{2}(\rho u)_w + \frac{\Gamma_w}{(\delta x)_w}$$

做如下变化:

$$\frac{1}{2}(\rho u)_{e} - (\rho u)_{e} + (\rho u)_{e} + \frac{\Gamma_{e}}{(\delta x)_{e}} - \frac{1}{2}(\rho u)_{w} + (\rho u)_{w} - (\rho u)_{w} + \frac{\Gamma_{w}}{(\delta x)_{w}}$$









如果在迭代求解过程中连续性方程能够满足-质量 守恒得到保证,则:  $F_{e} - F_{w} = 0$ 为保证代数方程迭代求解的收敛性,我们要求计算 中质量守恒一定要满足,于是  $a_P = a_E + a_W$ 即:  $a_p = \sum a_{nb}$  本身就意味着质量守恒的条件; 下面要仿照上述结构化网格中的处理过程来处理非 结构化网格中的对流项离散结果。 129/160



MOE-KLTFSE

#### 3.6.4 扩散项和源项的离散

在非结构化网格中扩散项的离散也较复杂。  $D_{j} = \int (-\Gamma_{\phi} \nabla \phi) \bullet d\vec{A} = -\int \Gamma_{\phi} \nabla \phi \bullet d\vec{A}$ 

其其物理意义是通过界面**j**的扩散传递。将总传递分 解为两部分:

1) 沿着*P<sub>0</sub>-P<sub>j</sub>*连线方向的扩散在界面 *j*上的投影-----称 为常规分量;

2) 沿着与 $P_0$ - $P_j$ 连线的垂直方向的扩散在界面 j上的 投影-----称为交叉分量。

由于<mark>常规(normal</mark>)分量并不垂直于界面,因此交 叉分量也不平行于界面。

西安交通大學





3. 交叉分量的计算







() 历安交通大学



非结构化网格上对流扩散方程的离散过程汇总



NHT-EHT

CENTER

134/160

i 🕐 :



西安交通大學





C<sub>i</sub>的紧凑表达方式(兼顾流速的正负两个方向)







对流项的一阶

迎风部分,进

入求解项

$$C_{j} = F_{j}\phi_{j} = \max(F_{j}, 0)[\phi_{P_{0}} + \gamma(\nabla\phi)_{P_{0}} \bullet (\overrightarrow{r_{j}} - \overrightarrow{r_{P_{0}}})]$$
$$-\max(-F_{j}, 0)[\phi_{P_{j}} + \gamma(\nabla\phi)_{P_{j}} \bullet (\overrightarrow{r_{j}} - \overrightarrow{r_{P_{j}}})]$$

$$= [\max(F_{j}, 0)\phi_{P_{0}} - \max(-F_{j}, 0)\phi_{P_{j}}]$$

$$+\max(F_{j},0)\gamma(\nabla\phi)_{P_{0}}\bullet(\overrightarrow{r_{j}}-\overrightarrow{r_{P_{0}}})-\max(-F_{j},0)\gamma(\nabla\phi)_{P_{j}}\bullet(\overrightarrow{r_{j}}-\overrightarrow{r_{P_{j}}})$$

对流项的其余部分,进入源项

源项的离散 
$$S_{\phi} = S_C + S_P \phi_P \int_{V_{P_0}} S_{\phi} dV = S_C V_{P_0} + S_P \phi_{P_0} V_{P_0}$$







#### 各项结果汇总

 $C_{j} = \max(F_{j}, 0)\phi_{P_{0}} - \max(-F_{j}, 0)\phi_{P_{j}}$  $+\max(F_{j}, 0)\gamma(\nabla\phi)_{P_{0}} \bullet (\overrightarrow{r_{j}} - \overrightarrow{r_{P_{0}}}) - \max(-F_{j}, 0)\gamma(\nabla\phi)_{P_{j}} \bullet (\overrightarrow{r_{j}} - \overrightarrow{r_{P_{j}}})$ 

 $D_{j} = D_{j}^{n} + D_{j}^{c}$   $D_{j}^{n} = -\Gamma_{\phi}(\phi_{P_{j}} - \phi_{P_{0}})\frac{\overrightarrow{d_{j}} \bullet \overrightarrow{A_{j}}}{\left|\overrightarrow{d_{j}}\right|^{2}}$   $D_{j}^{c} = -\Gamma_{\phi}\left\{\left(\nabla\phi\right)_{j} - \left[\left(\nabla\phi\right)_{j} \bullet \frac{\overrightarrow{d_{j}}}{\left|\overrightarrow{d_{j}}\right|}\right]\frac{\overrightarrow{d_{j}}}{\left|\overrightarrow{d_{j}}\right|}\right\} \bullet \overrightarrow{A_{j}}$ 

代入到积分方程的离散形式,确定  $\phi_{P0}$ 与邻点  $\phi_{Pj}$ 间的代数方程。





#### 3.6.5 离散方程的最终形式

# $\sum_{j} (C_{j} + D_{j}) = \int S_{\phi} dV$ 将含 $\phi_{P_{0}}$ 项置于等号前, <sup>N</sup>含 $\phi_{P_{j}}$ 项置于等号后; 扩散项中的常规分量进入直接求解部分, 交叉分 量进入源项(显式处理);

对流项离散的一阶迎风部分进入直接求解,其 余部分进入源项(延迟修正):

$$C_{j} = [\max(F_{j}, 0)\phi_{P_{0}} - \max(-F_{j}, 0)\phi_{P_{j}}]$$

 $+\max(F_{j},0) \gamma(\nabla\phi)_{P_{0}} \bullet (\overrightarrow{r_{j}} - \overrightarrow{r_{P_{0}}}) - \max(-F_{j},0) \gamma(\nabla\phi)_{P_{j}} \bullet (\overrightarrow{r_{j}} - \overrightarrow{r_{P_{j}}})$ 



() 西安交通大學





#### 对流部分的延迟修正











() 西安交通大學

(2) 西安交通大學



西安交通大學





3.6.6 压力修正方程的导出

类似于结构化网格上SIMPLE算法的压力修正 方程的推导过程,由界面流速的计算公式,

143/160

(1) あ安交通大学





 $a_{j}^{p} = \rho(\frac{V}{a_{0}^{u}})_{P_{j}} \frac{\left|\overrightarrow{A_{j}}\right|}{\left|\overrightarrow{d_{j}}\right|}, \qquad a_{0}^{p} = \sum_{j=1}^{3} a_{j}^{p}, \qquad b^{p} = \sum_{j=1}^{3} F_{j}^{*}$   $\frac{(FD-NHT-EHT}{CENTER}$ 144/160


#### 3.6.7 非结构化网格上SIMPLE算法的实施步骤

**1.** 假定一个速度场  $\overline{u^0}$  , 计算系数与源项;

2. 假定一个压力场 p\*;

**3.** 求解动量方程,得出临时速度场  $\overline{u}^*$ ;

**4.** 据 $u^{*}$ 修正压力,获得修正分量p',要求与p'对应的 u 使  $(u^{*} + u)$  满足质量守恒,由此导出压力修正方 程并求解之;

5. 获得p'后修正速度和压力;





界面流速 
$$\vec{u_j} = \vec{u_j^*} - (\overline{\frac{V}{a_0^u}})_{P_j} \frac{p_{P_j} - p_{P_0}}{|d_j|} \frac{\vec{A_j}}{\vec{A_j}}$$

节点压力  $p_{P_0} = p_{P_0}^* + \alpha_p p_{P_0}$ 



**6.** 以 $\overset{\neg}{u}$ 以及 $p^{*+} \alpha_{p} p'$ 开始下一层次的迭代计算。

非结构化网格上离散方程的求解难以应用结构化 网格上行之有效的ADI-TDMA方法,一般采用G-S迭代法或者共轭梯度法。



MOE-KLTFSE 🕢

#### 3.7 SIMPLE系列算法向可压缩流的发展简介

### 3.7.1 引言一发展全速算法的必要性

3.7.2 目前构建流场全速算法的两种途径

## 3.7.3 基于压力算法的控制方程

# **3.7.4** 流量修正由速度修正与流体密度修正两部 分组成

# 3.7.5 速度与压力的边界条件与不可压缩流不同







#### 3.7.1 引言一发展全速算法的必要性

# 美国的X-51A飞行器在2010年5月26日进行的第一次飞行速度达到了5Ma。



http://www.cnhuu.com/junshi/chinajq/200804/junshi\_195112 cro<u>shtml</u> CENTER



高超声速飞行器从地面起飞到达一定高度的飞行 中,其四周气体的流速经历了两种变化;

(1) 从不可压缩流动到高Ma数流动;

(2) 从连续介质流动到稀薄气体薄流动。

由于特别适用于稀薄气体流动模拟的DSMC计算 十分费时,因此只有在必须使用DSMC的局部地区 才使用,其余地区仍然采用连续介质的数值方法。

因此发展一种能同时进行不可压缩与可压缩流畅 计算的所谓"全速"算法(all speeds)具有重要意 义,引起了全世界的关注。



MOE-KLTFSE

#### 3.7.2 目前构建流场全速算法的两种途径

1.基于密度方法-从可压缩流动延拓到不可压缩流动

以密度为基本变量的方法以(u,v,w,  $\rho$ )为求解 变量,最初是对欧拉方程求解提出的,后扩大到粘性 流动,代数方程求解常采用直接解法。

基于密度的方法不适用用于马赫数很小的流动: 在这些情况下密度的变化很小甚至不变,压力与密度 之间的耦合变得很弱。

最近十余年随着航天航空事业的发展,将基于密 度的方法向不可压缩推广引起了广泛关注。





2.基于压力的方法-从不可压缩流动延拓到可压缩流动

无论流动马赫数为多少,压力的变化总是存在 的。因此以压力作为基本变量的算法有望可以适用于 整个马赫数范围,**气液固三相耦合特别适宜**。

从1989年出现将基于压力的方法推广到可压缩流 动至今,已经提出了十余种将基于压力的算法推广到 全速流动的计算,其中关键问题是:

(1) 密度修正值的插值方式;

(2) 对流项的离散格式。

这两个问题如果处理不当,常常使基于压力的全 速算法能计算的Ma数受限,或者精度与经济性不好。



国内的研究Ma数大都未达到高超声速的水平;本 团队两篇博士论文(屈治国,2005;张宏伟,2006) 喷管出口Ma分别达到3.4与6.7。



屈治国.流动传热问题先进算法及其在强化空气对流传热应用中的研究.西安 交通大学,2005.

张宏伟. 高压推力室流动与传热特性及液膜冷却的数值模拟研究. 西安交通 大学, 2006. T CED\_NHT\_FHT T CENTER **画** 西安交通大學

MOE-KLTFSE

在欧盟支持下Moukalled and Darwish将基于压力的算法计算到Ma=7,且获得很高的计算精度。

1) 将规整变量公式 (NVF) 用于对流项的离散; 2) 将高分辨率格式用来进行密度插值。



缩放喷管无粘流动沿程 马赫数分布对比,进口 马赫数为7

Moukalled F and Darwish M. A highresolution pressure-based algorithm for fluid flow at all speeds. A report submitted to European Office of Aerospace Research and Development (EOARD), SPC-99-4003.





#### 3.7.3 基于压力算法的控制方程

 $\frac{\partial(\rho\phi)}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial(\rho u\phi)}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial(\rho v\phi)}{\partial y} = \Gamma[\frac{\partial}{\partial x}(\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial x}) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial x})] - P_{\phi} + S_{\phi}$ 密度必须包括到微分号内, 源项  $P_{\phi}, S_{\phi}$  的表达 式见教材表4-4。

全速算法的介绍一般都采用适体坐标来进行,以 适应复杂求解区域。上式转换到计算平面后的形式见 教材式4-153.

**3.7.4** 流量的修正值由速度修正与流体密度修正两 部分组成



假设在一组给定的密度  $\rho^*$  及压力 $p^*$ 下由动量方 程的出了预估值  $u^*, v^*$ ,进一步要修正压力与密度使 速度满足质量守恒要求。

设修正值分别为  $\rho', p'$  则要求与之相应的 U'V' 能使得  $(\rho^* + \rho')(U^* + U')$  满足连续性方程。

$$\frac{M-M}{\Delta t} + F_e - F_w + F_n - F_s = 0$$

**以界面流量**  $F_e$  为例:  $F_e = (\rho^* + \rho')(U^* + U')\Delta\eta$ =  $(\rho^*U^* + \rho'U' + \rho^*U' + \rho'U^*)\Delta\eta$ =  $(\rho^*U^* + \rho^*U' + \rho'U')\Delta\eta$ =  $(\rho^*U^* + \rho^*U' + \rho'U')\Delta\eta$  155/160





将东、西、南、北四个界面流量表达式代入质量 守恒方程,得出包含  $\rho', U', V'$  在内的表达式。要从 此式获得压力修正值 p' 求解方程,需要获得联系  $\rho'$ 与 p', U'与 p' 的关系式。

**1.密度修正值与压力修正值的关系可由状态方程得出**  $\rho' = (\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial p})p' = C^{\rho}p'$ 

系数取决于气体种类及过程,对理想气体的等熵流动:

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial p} = \frac{1}{\gamma RT}, \gamma = \frac{c_p}{c_v}$$





MOE-KLTFSE

2.压力修正值与计算平面速度修正值的关系的得出

利用计算平面速度与物理分量之间的关系:

$$U = y_{\eta}u - x_{\eta}v \rightarrow U' = y_{\eta}u' - x_{\eta}v'$$

利用物理分量在计算平面上的离散方程:  $u_P = \sum A^u_{nb} u_{nb} + D^u + (B^u p_{\xi} + C^u p_{\eta})$ 

利用SIMPLE的思想: 略去邻点影响不计:

$$u_{P} = (B^{u} p_{\xi} + C^{u} p_{\eta})$$

由此获得  $U' \sim p'$  间的关系。

西安交通大學

- 3.连续性方程所需的界面密度需要由节点之值来插值 常用的方法均采用具有迎风倾向公式:
  - $$\begin{split} \rho_{e} &= \rho_{e}^{FUD} + \alpha_{\rho} (\rho_{e}^{CDS} \rho_{e}^{FUD}), 0 \leq \alpha_{\rho} \leq 1 \\ \rho_{e} &= (0.5 + \omega_{e}) \rho_{P} + (0.5 \omega_{e}) \rho_{E} \\ U &> 0, \omega_{e} = 0.5; U < 0, \omega_{e} = -0.5 \end{split}$$

#### 3.7.5 速度与压力的边界条件与不可压缩流不同

#### 参见教材节5-6。

将基于压力的算法拓宽到高超声速下的高效计 算仍然是一个难题,当前的进展不大。基于密度的 算法可能是个基本选择。



Karki KC, Patankar SV. Pressure based calculation procedure for viscous flows at all speeds in arbitrary configurations. AIAA J, 1989, 27(9):1167-1174

Shyy W, Chen M H, Sun C S. Pressure based multigrid algorithm for flow at all speeds. AIAA J, 1992, 30,2660-2669

Shyy W, Chen M H, Sun C S. Pressure based multigrid algorithm for flow at all speeds. AIAA J, 1992, 30,2660-2669

Demirdzic I, Leilek I, Peric M. A collocated finite volume method for predicting flows at all speeds. Int J Numer Methods Heat Fluids. 1993, 16: 1029 -1050

Date A W. Solutions of Navier-Stokes equations on non-staggered grids of all speeds. Numerical Heat Transfer, Part B,1998, 33:451-467







同舟共济



People in the same boat help each other to cross to the other bank, where....